A SIMPLE RETRIEVAL TECHNIQUE FOR ACCIDENTALLY DISPLACED MANDIBULAR THIRD MOLARS: A LITERATURE REVIEW
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ABSTRACT:

Purpose: To review the literature associated with displaced lower third molars, to review the methods of retrieval an accidentally displaced lower third molar tooth.

Materials and Methods: A review of the literature was performed to record the types of displacements, patient’s personal data, imaging used, and the surgical approaches with their complications.

Results: thirty two articles were identified and reviewed. There were no gender differences and the third and fourth decades of life were the most common time for this mishap to occur. lower third molars were displaced into 6 different tissue spaces. Different methods of recovery were used.

Conclusion: The displaced lower third molar is a rare but potentially serious complication of extraction. Because of differences in the direction of displacement, the size of fragment, delay in retrieval, and tissue reactions, no one technique is uniformly applicable. When the accident occurs, the general dentist should refer the patient to an oral and maxillofacial surgeon as soon as possible. The surgeon should localize the fragment by appropriate imaging and should remove it by a technique suited to the situation.

Key-words: accidentally displaced mandibular third molar, pterygomandibular space, sublingual space, pharyngeal space, displaced in soft tissue.

INTRODUCTION

The accidental displacement of a lower third molar or one of its root fragments is not common during extraction, but is nevertheless a well-recognized complication that is frequently mentioned in textbooks. Accidental displacement of some portion of the lower third molar into the sublingual, submandibular, or pterygomandibular space is a rare complication.

Because the incidence of third molar displacement is very low, there are only a few case reports of this condition in the literature and there is little information about it in general. The aim of this article is to review the literature, to review the methods of retrieval an accidentally displaced lower third molar tooth, to recommend rational guidelines for management of...
the displaced mandibular third molar tooth or root fragment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:**

Using a PubMed literature search, we identified and reviewed papers using these key words: accidentally displaced mandibular third molar, pterygomandibular space, sublingual space, pharyngeal space, displaced in soft tissue.

Papers were retrieved from 1958 to 2016 and we recorded the case number, age, gender, direction of displacement, complications, time from displacement to retrieval, surgical approach, and complications of retrieval. Papers in English were reviewed.

**RESULT:**

Of 32 papers published on this topic between 1958 and 2016, we were able to retrieve and interpret all of them (Table 1). There were no gender differences and the third and fourth decades of life were the most common time for this mishap to occur. Lower third molars were displaced into 6 different tissue spaces. Different methods of recovery were used.

**DISCUSSION:**

Accidental displacement is a rarely reported during the surgical removal of impacted molars. Unfortunately, there is no sure way of predicting such a transoperative accident, even following thorough review of past medical history and radiographic examination. Displaced fragments may vary in size and may appear in different tissue spaces. The delay time between displacement and retrieval varies widely. Consequently, no single method of retrieval is applicable to all circumstances.

The timing of the retrieval attempt has been the subject of some debate. Huang and colleagues favor as early an attempt at retrieval as possible. Some authors prefer to postpone the surgery for several weeks to allow fibrosis to occur and thereby stabilize the tooth in a firm position.

In Huang and colleagues’ review they found that when there was a delay in referral of more than 24 hours the result was more pain, more swelling, and trismus. Furthermore, some reports document infection and migration.

The localization of a mandibular third molar requires posteroanterior, occlusal, submentovertex and panoramic views. A CT scan is used to localize a tooth/fragment when it is deemed to be in deeper tissues spaces like the lateral pharyngeal or deep cervical space. Anand and Patil prefer to use the preliminary radiographs brought by the patients, if any, only for confirmation of the diagnosis of tooth displacement. they routinely use a CT scan with a threedimensional image reconstruction to precisely locate the tooth in threedimensional spaces so as to aid in planning the surgical approach needed in
each case. The CT scan is performed as close to the time of operation as possible as the position of the tooth can change with time. The use of CT or cone beam CT should be the preferred imaging modality whenever they are available.

Huang and colleagues advised that the localization with images and proper surgical methods were the keys to retrieving the displaced fragment successfully. When immediate retrieval was decided on, Panorex and occlusal view were useful in localizing the displaced fragment. When the fragment moves into a deeper space or the retrieval has been delayed for months, three-dimensional CT seems to be a better choice.

Jolly and colleagues reported if a dental fragment becomes displaced into a deep space, it is very important to determine the exact location by CT or high-quality radiography. An experienced surgeon should be consulted to avoid any inadvertent complications.

Mandibular third molars may be displaced into the sublingual, submandibular, pterygomandibular and lateral pharyngeal spaces. Lower third molars that are pushed through a perforation in the thin lingual plate normally pass inferiorly to the mylohyoid muscle. It is recommended that the operator place his or her thumb underneath the lower border of the mandible in an attempt to direct the tooth back along the lingual surface of the mandible. In some cases, the fragment will be palpable.

In 1958, Howe reported removal of a complete mandibular third molar from the floor of the mouth. Stacy and Orth described the removal of a third molar root fragment from a similar site in 1964. Some reports focused on localization using computed tomography (CT) scans while others described the surgical retrieval in detail. Intraoral, extraoral, and combined procedures have been used. An extended lingual mucoperiosteal flap extending from the ramus to (at least) the premolar region may be regarded as the “conventional method” of retrieval.

If the fragment is small and close to the socket, Huang and colleagues suggest that their modified method is very suitable. When the fragment is large and palpable, one may use either the modified method or the conventional method, with pressure upwards from beneath the mandible if needed. Huang and colleagues described a ‘modified method’, an osteotomised lingual plate flap in which the bony segment remains attached to the periosteum and can be replaced into its original position (FIGURE 1). Huang and colleagues suggested that modified method appears to save time and have few complications.
Figure 1. modified retrieval surgery for displaced right mandibular third molar. osteotomy of lingual plate of the socket. retraction of the lingual plate with soft tissue attachment lingually to expose the fragment (Huang, Wu, and Worthington. Accidentally Displaced Lower Third Molar. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2007)

Yeh[18] described a combined intraoral and lateral neck approach in which the original wound is extended lingually to the distal of the first molar. This is combined with a 4 mm skin incision made in the submandibular region. A haemostat is then inserted along the lingual surface of the mandible to stabilize the tooth while the surgeon palpates the tooth with an index finger. A Kelly clamp can be inserted to deliver the tooth upward into the mouth. This method is believed to limit further displacement of the tooth and limits the length of lingual flap reflection necessary. This method may be used when the fragment is large and distant from the socket[18].

To remove the lingual plate and cut the mylohyoid muscle, described by Stacy and Orth[4], is usually not necessary. It cannot be understated that careful retraction of the lingual flap preferably by a trained assistant is of paramount importance during the retrieval surgery. Some authors recommend identifying and protecting the nerve[17].

Extraoral and combined extraoral/intraoral approaches have been described[11,13,18]. This may be needed if the fragment is large and distant from the socket, as described by Yeh[18].

Gay-Escoda and colleagues[11] reported a case in which the displaced tooth was retrieved via a transcutaneous approach as the tooth was located between the sternocleidomastoid and the platysma muscles as a result of progressive exteriorisation due to a prolonged inflammatory reaction. Esen and colleagues[13] described a case in which a mandibular third molar was removed transorally from the tonsillar fossa through a vertical incision from the tonsillar fossa to the retromolar trigone after completion of a tonsillectomy.

CONCLUSION:

The suggested course of action in such situations is outlined by Anand and Patil[27]:

1) Patient should be promptly informed about the accident and the possible treatment options should be fully discussed.

2) In the event, the professional is not experienced and skilled enough to perform the retrieval surgery, and/or the patient is not in physical and/or psychological conditions to support the surgical intervention within the same session, surgery is postponed to a next date when the patient feels more comfortable. Referral to a skillful oral-maxillofacial surgeon is the conduct of choice. In the meantime, between the first and second interventions, the patient must be under antibiotic, analgesic and anti-inflammatory medication.

3) Where there has been a delay in the referral, one should note any existing nerve injury or infection, and record this carefully.

4) The maxillofacial surgeon should localize the tooth/root radiographically in at least two planes or ideally with a computed tomography (CT) scan or cone beam CT and at the earliest plan the proper surgical approach necessary to retrieve the fragment.

5) In case the fragment is small (1/3rd of the root length or less) and has no symptoms/ complications associated with it, the maxillofacial surgeon may choose to leave it in place.
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**TABLES:**

Table 1 Case Analysis From Literature Reviewed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Case number</th>
<th>Fragment</th>
<th>Time interval</th>
<th>Space</th>
<th>complications</th>
<th>anesthesia</th>
<th>Surgical approach</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Howe (1958)³</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>tooth</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Intraoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacy and Orth (1964)⁴</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>root</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Extraoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormer and Babett (1973)⁵</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>root</td>
<td>1 day</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Intraoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hutchinson (1975)⁶</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>root</td>
<td>immediate</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Intraoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ho (1980)⁷</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>tooth</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Intraoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedlar (1986)⁸</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>crown</td>
<td>6 day</td>
<td>Lateral pharyngeal</td>
<td>abscess</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy and remove (ENT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mellor and Finch (1987)⁹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>tooth</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>Sublingual</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Intraoral cannot remove</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grandini et al (1993)⁰</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Root Tooth</td>
<td>22 days 3 years</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>Swelling, trismus; swallowing pain. Infection.</td>
<td>LA</td>
<td>Intraoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esen et al (2000)¹³</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>tooth</td>
<td>months</td>
<td>Lateral pharyngeal</td>
<td>Pain, swelling, trismus, abscess</td>
<td>GA</td>
<td>Tonsillectomy and remove (ENT)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Paper Details</td>
<td>Tooth Number</td>
<td>Tooth Type</td>
<td>Immediate/Delayed</td>
<td>Space</td>
<td>Pain/Infection</td>
<td>Sedation/Extraoral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pippi and Perfetti (2002)14</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>None (mild swelling)</td>
<td>GA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ertas et al (2002)15</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>immediate</td>
<td>Lateral pharyngeal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koseglu et al (2002)16</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Sublingual</td>
<td>Pain and swelling</td>
<td>?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumuluri and Punni Moorthy (2002)</td>
<td>1 root</td>
<td>9 days</td>
<td>PterygMandibular</td>
<td>Swelling, trismus</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeh (2002)18</td>
<td>3 1 tooth 2 root</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>LA and sedation Extraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ozyuvaci et al (2003)19</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>Pain, swelling, trismus</td>
<td>GA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durmus et al (2004)20</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>2 days</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>Trismus, slight swelling</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Biase et al (2005)21</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>3 days</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al (2007)22</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>pterygoman dibular space</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medeiros N, GaffréeG (2008)23</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>35 days</td>
<td>lateral pharyngeal</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shahakbari R, Mortazavi H, Eshghpour M (2011)25</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>20 days</td>
<td>infratemporal space</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arasa et al. (2012)26</td>
<td>6 root</td>
<td>Immediately in 1 Delayed in 2</td>
<td>Sublingual</td>
<td>Fragment lost Lingual nerve injury Inferior alveolar nerve injury in one case</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anand and Patil (2013)27</td>
<td>3 tooth</td>
<td>6 hours 1 month</td>
<td>Submandibular pterygoman dibular space</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jolly et al (2014)2</td>
<td>1 root</td>
<td>1 month</td>
<td>Submandibular</td>
<td>Trismus</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kose et al (2014)28</td>
<td>2 Tooth Root</td>
<td>1 week</td>
<td>submandibular space</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA LA Extraoral Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silveira et al (2014)29</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>21 days</td>
<td>Sublingual</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suer et al (2014)30</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>2 years</td>
<td>pterygoman dibular space</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhao et al (2015)31</td>
<td>2 root</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Sublingual</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vora and Nagargoje (2015)32</td>
<td>1 tooth</td>
<td>6 days</td>
<td>pterygoman dibular space</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GA Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solanki et al (2016)33</td>
<td>2 Root tooth</td>
<td>1 month 2 years</td>
<td>submandibular</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>LA Extraoral Intraoral</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: GA, general anesthesia; LA, local anesthesia; ?, no record.